Le Maux and G. Jaouen, unpublished results.

(10) Permanent address: Départment de Chimie, Université de Constantine, Constantine, Algérie.

### P. Le Maux, G. Simonneaux, G. Jaouen\*

Stéréochimie des Eléments de Transition Laboratoire de Chimie des Organométalliques E.R.A. 477, C.N.R.S., Université de Rennes 35042 Rennes, Cedex, France

### L. Ouahab,<sup>10</sup> P. Batail\*

Laboratoire de Cristallochimie L.A. 254, C.N.R.S., Université de Rennes 35042 Rennes, Cedex, France Received July 18, 1977

# Zinc-Promoted One-Step Joining Reaction of Alkyl Halides, Activated Olefins, and Carbonyl Compounds

Sir:

Conjugate addition of organocopper reagents has been accepted as a versatile tool to introduce an alkyl group into the



 $\beta$ -position of  $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -conjugated olefinic systems.<sup>1</sup> The trapping of the anionic intermediate **1** with electrophiles<sup>2</sup> other than alkylating agents<sup>3</sup> has also been shown in some syntheses. The organocopper reagents, however, are not necessarily simple in their preparation and operation.

We wish to report a novel zinc-promoted joining reaction<sup>4,5</sup> of three components consisting of alkyl halides **2**, activated olefins **3**, and carbonyl compounds **4**. This one-step joining reaction is characterized by remarkable simplicity and high yield. The reaction may proceed through formation of an anionic species from **2** followed by addition of the anion to **3** and

$$R^{1}-X + R^{2}CH = CHR^{3}Y + \begin{pmatrix} R^{4} \\ C = 0 & Zn \\ R^{5} & CH_{3}CN \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{R^{1}} \begin{pmatrix} R^{4}-C - OH \\ CH_{3}CN \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{CH-C-R^{3}} R^{2} \xrightarrow{V} Y$$

Table I. One-Step Joining Reaction of Three Components 2, 3, and 4 in Acetonitrile<sup>a</sup>

Halide 2,	Activated Olefin 3			Carbonyl Compound 4			
R <sup>1</sup> X	R <sup>2</sup>	R <sup>3</sup>	Y	Ř4	R <sup>5</sup>	Product 5	Yield, <sup>b</sup> %
(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> CHI	Н	Н	CN	CH <sub>3</sub>	CH <sub>3</sub>	$\begin{array}{c} CN & OH \\ & &   \\ CH_{2}CHCH_{2}CH \longrightarrow C(CH_{3})_{2} \\ \end{array} $	98
(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> CHI	Н	Н	CN	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	Н	$\begin{array}{c} CN & OH \\   &   \\ (CH_{a})_{a}CHCH_{a}CH - CHC_{a}H_{a} & (\mathbf{5b}) \end{array}$	94
(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> CHI	Н	Н	CN	(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>5</sub>		$(CH_{i})_{i}CHCH_{i}CH \xrightarrow{(CN OH} (\mathbf{5c})$	99
(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> CHI	Н	Н	CN	(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>4</sub>		(CH_),CHCH,CH_(CH_(CH_(CH_(CH_(CH_(CH_(CH_(CH_(CH_(	92
(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> CHI	Н	Н	CN	$C_2H_5$	Н	CN OH     (CH_),CHCH_CH—CHCH,CH, ( <b>5</b> e)	65
<u> </u>	Н	Н	CN	CH <sub>3</sub>	CH <sub>3</sub>	$(\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}) = \mathbf{H}$	95
CH <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> I	Н	Н	CN	CH3	CH <sub>3</sub>	$\begin{array}{c} CN & OH \\   &   \\ CH_{3}CH_{4}CH_{2}CH_{2}CH_{2}CH_{2}CH_{2}OH \\ \end{array} $	63
CH <sub>3</sub> I	Н	Н	CN	CH <sub>3</sub>	CH <sub>3</sub>	$\begin{array}{c} C \mathbf{K} & O \mathbf{H} \\   &   \\ C \mathbf{H}_{1} C \mathbf{H}_{2} C \mathbf{H}_{-} C \mathbf{H} (C \mathbf{H}_{2})_{2} & (5 \mathbf{h})^{d} \\ C \mathbf{N}_{-} O \mathbf{H} \end{array}$	52
$C_6H_5CH_2Br$	Н	Н	CN	CH <sub>3</sub>	CH <sub>3</sub>	$\begin{array}{c}   \\   \\   \\ C,H,CH,CH,CH,CH \rightarrow CH(CH_{2}) \\ CN \qquad OH \end{array}$	46
(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> CHI	CH3	Н	CN	$C_6H_5$	Н	(CH_),CHCH(CH_)CH—CHC,H( (5)) CN OH	95
(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> CHI	Н	CH <sub>3</sub>	CN	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	Н	(CH <sub>3</sub> ),CHCH,C(CH <sub>3</sub> )CHC <sub>2</sub> H <sub>3</sub> ( <b>5k</b> )	73
(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> CHI	Н	CH <sub>3</sub>	CN	CH <sub>3</sub>	CH <sub>3</sub>	(CH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> CHCH <sub>2</sub> C(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> CHCH	72
(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> CHI	Н	Н	COOCH3	CH3	CH <sub>3</sub>	$(CH_{a})_{2}CHCH_{2}CH \longrightarrow C(CH_{a})_{2}$ (5m) COOCH_OH	57
<u>─</u> −ı	Н	н	COOCH <sub>3</sub>	CH <sub>3</sub>	CH <sub>3</sub>	$CH_{J}CH$ $C(CH_{J})_{J}$ (5n)	52

<sup>*a*</sup> The molar ratios of **2**, **4**, and zinc to **3** were 3.0, 3.0, and 5.0, respectively. <sup>*b*</sup> Isolated yields. <sup>*c*</sup> Substitution of isopropyl iodide with isopropyl bromide resulted in the decrease in the yield (31%) of **5a**. <sup>*d*</sup> The molar ratio of **2** to **3** was 5.0. <sup>*e*</sup> Acetone was used as solvent. An unexpected product,  $(CH_3)_2CHCH_2C(CN)(CH_3)COCH_3$  was obtained in acetonitrile, the yield being 42%.<sup>8</sup>

subsequent nucleophilic attack of the intermediate to 4.

A typical procedure is as follows. Under an atmosphere of nitrogen, a mixture of 2.40 g (37 mmol) of zinc powder,6 0.50 mL (7.6 mmol) of freshly distilled acrylonitrile, 1.70 mL (23 mmol) of acetone, and 14 mL of acetonitrile was refluxed with stirring, and 0.55 mL (5.5 mmol) of isopropyl iodide was added slowly to the mixture. After a short period, an exothermic reaction took place. After the vigorous reaction subsided, an additional 1.65 mL (16.5 mmol) of isopropyl iodide was added dropwise over 10 min, and the mixture was refluxed for 4-5 h. The usual workup gave the  $\beta$ -hydroxy nitrile **5a** in a 98% yield: bp 74-75 °C (1.0 mm); NMR (CCl<sub>4</sub>)  $\delta$  0.98 (d, 3 H, >CHC $H_3$ ), 1.08 (d, 3 H, >CHC $H_3$ ), 1.32 (s, 3 H, >C(OH)-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.35 (s, 3 H,  $>C(OH)CH_3$ ), 1.40–1.75 (m, 2 H, -CH<sub>2</sub>-), 1.74-2.10 (m, 1 H, >CH-), 2.60 (d of d, 1 H, >CHCN), 3.00 (br s, 1 H, -OH); IR (neat) 3430-3390, 2240, 1385, 1365, 1140-1160 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>17</sub>ON: C, 69.63; H, 11.04; N, 9.02. Found: C, 69.90; H, 11.24, N, 8.96. In a similar manner,  $\beta$ -hydroxy nitriles **5a–l** and esters **5m**,n were obtained in satisfactory yields as shown in Table I.<sup>7</sup>

It is noteworthy that the reaction is feasible for a variety of compounds 2 or 4. The dehydration of the product 5a gave readily the activated olefin 6a.7



Similar to ketones and aldehydes, acetic anhydride behaved as an electrophile yielding the expected cyanoenol actetate  $7^7$ in a good yield. Furthermore, the pattern of the joining reaction suggests the feasibility of some types of cycloaddition. Indeed,

$$(CH_3)_2CHI + CH_2 = CHCN + (CH_3CO)_2O$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{CN OCOCH}_3}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{CH}_3CN} (CH_3)_2CHCH_2C = CCH_3$$

$$7 (81\%)$$

the zinc-promoted reaction of 5-iodopentan-2-one with acrylonitrile or methyl acrylate gave the corresponding cycloaddition product<sup>7</sup> in a reasonable yield.

$$CH_2 = CH - Y + CH_3 CCH_2 CH_2 CH_2 I \longrightarrow V = CN 42 \%$$

$$Y = CN 42 \%$$

$$Y = COOCH_3 32 \%$$

In view of its simplicity and generality, this three-components joining reaction seems one of the promising methods for the synthesis of such selective aldol-type compounds as the conventional condensation between carbonyl compounds and esters or nitriles scarcely yields.<sup>9</sup> The application of this novel joining reaction to synthesis is in progress.

#### **References and Notes**

- (1) (a) G. H. Posner, Org. React., 19, Chapter 1, 1 (1972); (b) J. F. Normant, Synthesis, 63 (1972).
- (a) Aldehydes: G. H. Posner, J. J. Sterling, C. E. Whitten, C. M. Lentz, and D. J. Brunelle, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **97**, 107 (1975); G. Stork and M. Isobe, *ibid.*, **97**, 6260 (1975); K. K. Heng and R. A. J. Smith, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 589 (2)(1975). (b) Acid chlorides: T. Tanaka, S. Kurozumi, T. Toru, and M. Kobayashi, *ibid.*, 1535 (1975); T. Toru, S. Kurozumi, T. Tanaka, S. Miura, M. Kobayashi, and S. Ishlmoto, *ibid.*, 4087 (1976); T. Tanaka, S. Kurozumi, T. Toru, M.

Kobayashi, S. Miura, and S. Ishimoto, *Tetrahedron*, **33**, 1105 (1977). (c) Intramolecular addition to ketones; J. E. McMurry and S. J. Isser, *J. Am*. Chem. Soc., 94, 7132 (1972); F. Näf, R. Decorzant, and W. Thommen, Helv. Chim. Acta, 58, 1808 (1975). (d) Phenyl selenyl bromide: H. J. Reich, J. M. Renga, and I. L. Reich, J. Org. Chem., 39, 2133 (1974). (e) Diborane: J. Klein, R. Levene, and E. Dunkelblum, Tetrahedron Lett., 4031 (1972).

- (a) R. K. Boeckman, Jr., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 95, 6867 (1973); (b) R. M. Coates and L. O. Sandefur, J. Org. Chem., 39, 275 (1974); (c) R. K. Boeckman, Jr., *ibid.*, 38, 4450 (1973); (d) P. A. Grieco and R. Finkelhor, *ibid.*, 38, 2100 (1973); (e) G. H. Posner, C. E. Whitten, J. J. Sterling, and D. J. Brunelle, Tetrahedron Lett., 2591 (1974).
- (4) For conjugate addition of organozinc compounds to α,β-unsaturated system, See (a) J. Gilman and R. H. Kirby, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 63, 2046 (1941); (b)
   M. Isobe, S. Kondo, N. Nagasawa, and T. Goto, Chem. Lett., 679 (1977).
- (5) Reductive alkylation of some activated olefins promoted by zinc-silver couple was reported by R. Galli and coworkers. See T. Caronna, A. Citterio, A. Clerici, and R. Galli, Org. Prep. Proced. Int., 6, 299 (1974).
- (6) Commercial 99.9% zinc powder (Institute of High Purity Chemicals, Saitama, Japan) was used without any activation.
- (7) Satisfactory spectroscopic and elemental analyses were obtained for all the products herein.
- (8) The acetyl group in the product came from acetonitrile, which behaved as an electrophile in place of acetone. (9) J. Mathieu and J. Weill-Raynal, "Formation of Carbon–Carbon Bonds", Vol.
- II, Georg Thieme, Stuttgart, Germany, 1975, pp 560-572.

Tatsuya Shono,\* Ikuzo Nishiguchi, Manji Sasaki

Department of Synthetic Chemistry Faculty of Engineering, Kyoto University Yoshida, Sakyo, Kyoto 606, Japan Received February 3, 1978

## **On Binding to Transition States and Ground States: Remote Catalysis**

Sir:

How binding forces increase reaction rates is a crucial question in catalysis, particularly enzymic catalysis. Many recent models use binding forces to reduce entropies of activation before a process occurs.<sup>1</sup> In this communication we demonstrate how binding forces may be used as a process occurs, with maximum binding at the transition state.<sup>2</sup> To ensure that binding and activation are simultaneous, we have constructed a molecular lever in which these forces are mechanically coupled.

force 
$$\Delta$$
 energy barrier

The design of such a mechanism requires knowledge of transition-state geometry and the racemization of biphenyl derivatives is a process for which reasonable inferences regarding this geometry may be drawn.<sup>3</sup> In cyclic systems, e.g., 1, the transition state for racemization is reached when the two aromatic rings become coplanar. The reaction coordinate is  $\theta$ , the dihedral angle defined by the aromatic ring planes, and the transition state occurs at  $\theta = 0^{\circ}$ . In 1 the process is most easily monitored by DNMR; racemization occurs with inversion of the cycloheptatriene ring and the environments of the gem-dimethyl groups are exchanged. Sutherland<sup>4</sup> has reported that coalescence of the gem-dimethyl singlets of 1 occurs at 102 °C with  $\Delta G^{\ddagger} = 18.8 \text{ kcal/mol for the racemi-}$ zation process.



© 1978 American Chemical Society